



Paper No. 5

MAILED

JUN 26 2002

Technology Center 2100

Richard E. Kurtz, II
Greenberg Traurig
1750 Tysons Boulevard, 12th Floor
McLean, Virginia 22102

In re Application of: G. C. Conkwright et al.)
Application No. 09/759,640)
Filed: January 16, 2001) **DECISION ON PETITION FOR**
For: PRIVACY COMPLIANT MULTIPLE) **ACCELERATED EXAMINATION**
DATASET CORRELATION) **UNDER M.P.E.P. §708.02(VIII)**
SYSTEM)

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration dated May 22, 2002, filed by the applicants in response to an earlier Decision mailed on April 26, 2002 by the Office. The original petition was filed on March 18, 2002 under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) and M.P.E.P. §708.02(VIII): Accelerated Examination, to make the above-identified application special.

M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section VIII which sets out the prerequisites for a grantable petition for Accelerated Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.102(d) states in relevant part:

A new application (one which has not received any examination by the examiner) may be granted special status provided that applicant (and this term includes applicant's attorney or agent) complies with each of the following items:

(a) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h);

(b) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status.

(c) Submits a statement(s) that a pre - examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;


(d) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and

(e) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

The May 22, 2002 Request for Reconsideration filed by the applicants is accompanied by a detailed discussion of the references that are most closely related the claimed invention and explanation as to how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

Applicant's submission meets all the criteria set out above, accordingly, the Petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file is being forwarded to the Examiner of Record for accelerated examination according to the procedures set forth in M.P.E.P. §708.02, Section VIII.



Pinchus M. Laufer
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture, Software, and Electronic Commerce
(703) 306-4160